Briefing Note

Understanding Local Bank Branch Closures in Rural Communities

Across rural Ontario, the closure or consolidation of local bank branches has become an increasingly visible issue. For residents, businesses, seniors, community organizations, and local governments, the loss of a branch can feel sudden and personal. It can also raise understandable questions about access, fairness, local economic confidence, and whether anything could have been done locally to prevent it.

The broader context is that banking has changed significantly. Most routine banking now happens through online banking, mobile apps, debit and credit cards, automatic payments, e-transfers, telephone banking, and ATMs. For many customers, a branch is no longer the place where most day-to-day banking occurs. Depositing cheques, paying bills, transferring funds, reviewing balances, and making payments can often be done without visiting a physical location.

The data supports that shift. The Canadian Bankers Association reported that 87% of Canadians used online banking in the previous year, while 70% used a banking app. Digital channels are now the dominant way Canadians bank, but branches have not disappeared from customer behaviour. In the same research, 61% of Canadians still reported visiting a branch, while only 12% said the branch was their main banking method.

The shift is also visible across age groups. There is sometimes an assumption that online banking is largely unsuitable for seniors, but the data is more mixed. Many older Canadians do bank online, and online banking has become common among Canadians over 60. Statistics Canada has found that, among internet users, 76% of those aged 65 to 74 banked online, along with 61% of those aged 75 and older. At the same time, the gap has not disappeared. Statistics Canada also found that 14% of Canadians aged 65 and older had no internet access at all in 2022. The issue, then, is not that older residents as a group cannot adapt to digital banking. It is that a meaningful minority remain less able or less comfortable doing so, and they may be more affected when in-person options disappear.

The same is true for rural access more generally. Online banking does not require especially high bandwidth, but it does require reliable internet, a suitable device, digital confidence, and comfort managing financial matters online. In rural areas, those conditions are not universal. Broadband service can be inconsistent, mobile service can vary, and transportation can be a barrier for those who must travel farther to reach the next

branch. Income, age, disability, language, and digital literacy can all affect whether a person can realistically replace branch banking with online banking.

Branch closures are also part of an international trend, not just a local or Canadian one. Canadian bank branch numbers have declined, but less sharply than in several peer countries. The Canadian Bankers Association reported that the number of bank branches in Canada fell from 6,205 in 2012 to 5,656 in 2022, a decline of about 9%. By comparison, branch networks declined by 16% in the United States, 39% in Australia, 44% in the United Kingdom, and 45% in the Netherlands over comparable periods. That context does not reduce the local impact of a closure, but it does show that the trend is tied to broad changes in customer behaviour, technology, and financial-service delivery.

Those changes have altered the business case for branch networks. A branch carries fixed costs, including staffing, building costs, cash handling, security, technology, insurance, maintenance, compliance, and management support. Those costs continue even when fewer customers are visiting the branch, or when most visits are for routine, lower-margin transactions. From the perspective of a financial institution managing a network of locations, branch consolidation can be a business decision driven by usage patterns, operating costs, staffing realities, technology, and the availability of other service channels.

A branch closure should also be read cautiously as an economic signal. The closure of a bank branch in a rural downtown does not, on its own, indicate that the local economy is weakening. A community can have strong businesses, stable employment, new investment, and population growth while still seeing reduced demand for in-person banking. The same digital shift affecting rural branches is also affecting branches in larger urban markets. Local conditions may be one factor in a financial institution's decision, but branch closures are often tied to broader network strategy, customer behaviour, technology, staffing, and operating costs.

That does not mean the local impact is minor. In rural communities, a bank branch is often more than a service counter. It can be part of the local business infrastructure. Small businesses may rely on branches for cash deposits, change orders, night deposits, account problem-solving, certified cheques, and financial advice. Community groups, legions, churches, fairs, service clubs, and minor sports organizations may still use cheques and cash. Residents dealing with estates, fraud, powers of attorney, safety deposit boxes, loans, or more complicated account matters may prefer, or require, in-person assistance.

Cash use has declined, but it has not disappeared. Payments Canada reported that cash represented 11% of Canadian retail payment volume in 2024, while credit and debit cards accounted for a much larger share. Even so, that still represented billions of cash transactions. The Bank of Canada has also continued to emphasize the public value of

cash because it is accessible, works without internet or electricity at the point of use, and remains important for resilience, privacy, and inclusion.

The government role is often misunderstood. Local municipal councils do not decide whether a bank, credit union, or other financial institution keeps a branch open. Municipalities can advocate, share community concerns, support local planning, and communicate the impact of a closure, but they generally cannot compel a private financial institution to maintain a branch. For federally regulated banks, the federal government has the clearest formal role through banking regulation and consumer-protection rules. Banks are required to provide notice of branch closures, and in some rural circumstances, longer notice and additional local notification may be required. The Financial Consumer Agency of Canada can review whether the required process was followed and can require a public meeting in certain cases. These rules are focused on notice, transparency, and transition, rather than forcing a branch to remain open.

The provincial role is more indirect. The Province of Ontario does not generally decide where federally regulated banks locate branches. However, provincial policy can affect related issues, including broadband expansion, rural transportation, economic development, accessibility, and the regulatory environment for Ontario credit unions. The Ontario Chamber of Commerce network has also raised rural banking access as a business issue through its policy process. In 2026, a proposed OCC policy resolution argued that access to in-person banking remains important for rural economic inclusion and community sustainability, while the OCC's Policy and Advocacy Committee noted jurisdictional concerns because bank regulation is federal and branch siting is a private business decision.

For rural communities, this distinction is important. A local branch closure can create real inconvenience and access challenges, particularly for businesses, seniors, non-profits, people without reliable transportation, and those less able to rely fully on digital tools. At the same time, it should be understood within a wider set of national and international changes in banking. The trend is not unique to one town, one municipality, or one local economy. Its effects, however, can be more pronounced in small and rural communities because distance, transportation, broadband reliability, cash use, business banking needs, and demographics all shape how easily residents and businesses can adapt.

In that sense, rural branch closures sit at the intersection of two realities. The financial-service industry is changing quickly, and much of that change is driven by customer adoption of digital tools. Rural communities experience those changes through a local lens, where the loss of a physical branch can affect access, confidence, convenience, and day-to-day business operations more visibly than it might in larger urban centres.

Sources and Further Reading

- Bank of Canada, Canadians' Access to Cash in 2023
- Bank of Canada, Laying Bare the Evolution of Payments in Canada
- Statistics Canada, Trends in Online Banking and Shopping
- Payments Canada, Canada Reaches $12.2 Trillion in Payment Transactions in 2024
- Canadian Bankers Association, How Canadians Are Banking in 2024
- Canadian Bankers Association, Bank Branches in Canada
- KPMG, Banking on Branches
- Financial Consumer Agency of Canada, Bank Branch Closures
- Financial Consumer Agency of Canada, Commissioner's Guidance on Consultation for Branch Closures
- Canadian Credit Union Association, About Credit Unions